

consultees that we have to approach as opposed to the applicant. So it depends on a number of matters and it also depends on whether or not deemed consent is still going to be maintained and.

00:54:11:08 - 00:54:18:15

Depending on whether or not some of those changes made a ten week period would be satisfactory in our in our view. Thank you,

00:54:21:16 - 00:54:30:09

Mr. Gareth. Yeah. Before you come in. Just wondering whether we should. It would be easier if we hear from Mr. McBride first, and then you can respond to all of those points at the same time.

00:54:32:06 - 00:55:02:07

Thanks. Brightlingsea County Council. Yeah, we certainly welcome the intention to include these within the works. What we've asked for on previous examinations and we were going to ask the same for this. We welcome that intention in terms of the timescales. Um, I believe that we can accept ten weeks as a period for um, determining the requirement. I think that's a reasonable time that we could. We can work to.

00:55:03:23 - 00:55:05:21

Thank you, Mr. McBride. Mr. Phillips.

00:55:07:12 - 00:55:10:04

Thank you, Gareth. It's the applicant. Just to say that.

00:55:10:06 - 00:55:46:03

I concur with the points that have been raised already, that they were also raised in respect of the gate examination hearings a couple of weeks ago. The applicant for that project is taken away to have a look at what could be worked with in terms of those timescales. There's the principle here is one of urgency in that you can't you can't fail to see the urgency that's set out in the national policy statements. And that isn't just an urgency that applies up to the ground, to the the urgency actually is reflected in when the power is generated.

00:55:46:05 - 00:56:28:05

It's not even just and discharge requirements. And that's a theme that we need to keep through all of these DCS relating to energy generation. So it's not about trying to squeeze the local authorities, but it's about trying to keep a good time timescale throughout the planning process for these projects to ensure that they are, you know, deployed with speed to meet that that urgency set out in national policy. But I just wanted to confirm for you, for you, sir, that we've heard these points on the other application and the applicants are all working together on on points like this to make sure that there's some uniformity across the DCS in Lincolnshire.

00:56:28:07 - 00:56:28:27

So thank.

00:56:28:29 - 00:56:41:28

You. Thank you. Mr. Phillips. And just one follow up question for you. In terms of the ten weeks, think you suggested eight weeks, both of the local authorities have suggested ten weeks. Does the applicant have a view on that? Ten weeks at this point, a ten week period?

00:56:42:00 - 00:57:12:20

I think it's something that we're looking into. It's not we might speaking for all of the applicants, it's whether or not the same timescale is needed for all requirements or whether a concession could be made in respect of the more detailed ones, because that was also a point that came out through the gate and hearing. So there's a dynamic there to consider as well. So think we'll have some or confer with the other applicants and then hopefully provide something in writing at the next deadline.

00:57:13:03 - 00:57:37:21

Thank you. Just follow up, Mr. Phillips. I think there's a range of different timescales in various different DCS. I'm aware of that. But think some of the recent ones that I've dealt with, I think ten weeks has been around about the time some of them have gone to 13 weeks. I'm not aware of any eight and think Longfield has ten and they didn't have the same challenges that are posed by some of the local authorities here. So think that's something that we'll certainly be bearing in mind.

00:57:43:05 - 00:57:45:00

Does anyone else wish to comment on this point?

00:57:49:24 - 00:57:55:09

Very well. That's. Oh, I'm sorry. I'm sorry. Didn't see that. There's one guy.

00:57:58:10 - 00:58:35:06

Thank you, sir. Kerry Monger for the Environment Agency. If I can just comment on agenda item six, if that's okay. Um, the Environment Agency acknowledged that the applicants stated at the beginning of this hearing that they intend to align the wording was that of Gate Burton Energy Park. I just thought I'd briefly outline our comments here for completeness. So we, we just have concerns that the procedure outlined in section 17 of the DCO will not provide sufficient time for adequate consultation to take place on the discharge of requirements.

00:58:35:22 - 00:59:08:21

So schedule 17, paragraph three three. Further information and consultation references. A 15 day turnaround from when the relevant planning authority receives further information and requires consultation. Um, so we just were asking that that be amended to 20 working days to provide sufficient consultation, which I believe would then align with the with gate gate. Burton. So I just wanted to, to make that one clear. We'll follow it up in writing, but just, just to put that across.

00:59:08:28 - 00:59:25:29

And also when it comes to paragraph four to see on appeals, we we'd ask that that be amended to to the same 20 working days if possible. But yeah, if you if you need any more information, I'll put that in writing for you. But just wanted to say that whilst I'm here.

00:59:27:21 - 00:59:28:06

Thank you.

00:59:29:29 - 00:59:33:15

Thank you, miss. We had some feedback there. I think that.

00:59:38:01 - 00:59:38:16

Just

00:59:39:25 - 00:59:40:10

maybe if.